EPA Official Record

Notes ID: 72BF95E0EA673109852578690055A5CE

From: "Anderson, Michael \(Boston\)" < Michael. Anderson@jacobs.com>

To: Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

Copy To: <gary.p.morin@usace.army.mil>

Delivered Date: 07/30/2007 07:14 AM EDT

Subject: RE: FW: CAD Cell Alternative 3

ATTACHMENT: DraftCAD Cell 3 Alternative.pdf
Dave, Have a look at this pdf and see if it has everything you're looking
for. It's sequential in terms of the three pieces we've sent out for review.
The first 11 pages are from the basis of estimate we sent out in early June.
Page 12 is from the Excel spreadsheet Mark sent out the second week in July
(I think that's what you're looking for below?) Page 13 is the comparison

(I think that's what you're looking for below?). Page 13 is the comparison of cost and schedule for the various funding scenarios of the current remedy and CAD Cell Alternatives 2 and 3. Let me know if this has everything you

need.

Thanks, Mike

----Original Message----

From: dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Thursday, July 26, 2007 3:57 PM

To: Anderson, Michael (Boston)
Cc: gary.p.morin@usace.army.mil

Subject: Re: FW: CAD Cell Alternative 3

thanks Mike - this may have to wait until I get back week after next. At first glance it looks good, but I was expecting to see the larger spreadsheet/database of year to year costs laid out one after the other.

Dave

"Anderson,
Michael
\(Boston\)"
<Michael.Anderso
n@jacobs.com>

Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

CC

07/26/2007 03:20 Subject

Hi Dave, Is this the information you were asking about on the call yesterday? Keep in mind you'll see some slight discrepancies between the initial package and the more recent estimate (timeline changed for example) based on the nature of populating the spreadsheet in a way that makes sense. Anyway, let me know if there is something more you're looking for or if this covers it.

Mike

----Original Message---From: Anderson, Michael (Boston)
Sent: Friday, June 01, 2007 12:56 PM
To: Gary Morin (Gary.P.Morin@usace.army.mil); Paul L'Heureux (paul.g.l'heureux@usace.army.mil); Maurice Beaudoin (maurice.beaudoin@usace.army.mil); Mark Anderson (mark.j.anderson.jr@nae02.usace.army.mil); K. C. Mitkevicius (K.C.Mitkevicius@nae02.usace.army.mil); Dave Dickerson (dickerson.dave@epa.gov); Jim Brown (brown.jim@epa.gov)
Cc: Gouveia, Mark; Rigassio-Smith, Anita
Subject: CAD Cell Alternative 3

Hi everyone,

Please see the attached basis of estimate for CAD Cell alternative 3. As discussed during this week's meeting, the intention here is to give everyone an idea of what our basic assumptions and labor/equipment estimates are before we begin populating the spreadsheets and developing the cost estimate and refined timeline. We can discuss this following our weekly call next Wednesday and a decision can be made if we should go forward with the estimate or change the basis based on the review. Let Mark or me know if you have any questions.

Thanks, Mike

copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and

deleting
it from your computer.

"basisofestimatepackage.pdf" deleted by Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US]

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail.

- DraftCAD Cell 3 Alternative.pdf